

COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Tacoma **Business Administration** Term: Summer 2016

T BUS 590 C

Special Topics In Business Course type: Face-to-Face

Taught by: Eugene Sivadas, Laura Kneale Instructor Evaluated: Laura Kneale-Lecturer Evaluation Delivery: Paper Evaluation Form: A

Responses: 6/7 (85%)

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Adjusted Combined Median Median 4.8 4.8

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several IASystem items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 4.2

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The course as a whole was:	6	67%	17%	17%				4.8	4.7
The course content was:	6	67%	17%	17%				4.8	4.7
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	6	67%	33%					4.8	4.8
The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was:	6	67%	33%					4.8	4.8

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Relative to	other co	ollege c	ourses y	ou have tak	en:				Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Median	
Do you exp	ect your	grade in	this cour	se to be:				6		33%	17%	50%				4.5	
The intellec	tual challe	enge pre	sented w	as:				6		33%	17%	33%	17%			4.5	
The amoun	t of effort	you put	into this o	course was:				6		33%	17%	33%	17%			4.5	
The amoun	t of effort	to succ	eed in this	course was	3:			6		17%	50%	17%	17%			4.8	
Your involve was:	ement in o	course (doing ass	signments, a	ttending cla	sses, etc.)		6		33%	33%	17%	17%			5.0	
0	tending cl	asses, d	doing read	ek have you dings, review vork?							Clas	s media	an: 10.2	Hou	ırs per c	eredit: 2.5	(N=6)
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15		16-17	18-	19	20-2	21 22	or more
				17%	17%	50%		17%	0								
From the total average hours above, how many do you consider were valuable in advancing your education? Class median: 9.5 Hours per credit: 2.4 (Note that the properties of									(N=6)								
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13	3	14-15		16-17	18-	19	20-2	21 22	or more
			17%	17%	17%	33%		17%	0								
What grade	do you e	expect in	this cour	se?										C	class me	edian: 3.5	(N=6)
Δ	Δ-	R.	В	R-	C+	C	C-		D±	D		D-	F				

67% 17% 17% In regard to your academic program, is this course best described as:

(2.9-3.1)

(2.5-2.8)

(2.2-2.4)

(1.9-2.1)

(N=6)

No Credit

	A core/distribution				
In your major	requirement	An elective	In your minor	A program requirement	Other
33%		50%		17%	

(1.5-1.8)

(1.2-1.4)

(0.9-1.1)

(0.7-0.8)

(0.0)

Pass

Credit

(3.9-4.0)

(3.5-3.8)

(3.2-3.4)



COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Tacoma Business Administration Term: Summer 2016

STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
Course organization was:	6	83%		17%				4.9	1
Clarity of instructor's voice was:	6	83%	17%					4.9	10
Explanations by instructor were:	6	83%	17%					4.9	7
Instructor's ability to present alternative explanations when needed was:	6	67%	17%	17%				4.8	14
Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was:	6	83%	17%					4.9	8
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	6	67%	17%	17%				4.8	11
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	6	67%	33%					4.8	17
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	6	67%	33%					4.8	18
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	6	83%		17%				4.9	12
Answers to student questions were:	6	67%	33%					4.8	15
Availability of extra help when needed was:	6	83%	17%					4.9	6
Use of class time was:	6	83%		17%				4.9	5
Instructor's interest in whether students learned was:	6	67%	33%					4.8	16
Amount you learned in the course was:	6	67%	17%	17%				4.8	9
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	6	67%	33%					4.8	13
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	6	83%	17%					4.9	4
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	6	83%	17%					4.9	3
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	6	100%						5.0	2